

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

An investigation into the effects of different housing and
feeding systems on behaviour and milk production of dairy
ewes in mid and late stages of lactation

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

in

Animal Science

At Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand.

April Elizabeth Bliss

2018

Abstract

Comparisons of different New Zealand dairy sheep farm systems are currently lacking. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of different management systems on the behaviour and milk production of East Friesian cross-bred sheep at different stages of lactation. Two study groups were evaluated. In study group 1, a mob of 479 mixed-age, mid-lactation ewes were housed 24 h/day, and a separate mob of 473 mixed-age, mid-lactation ewes were managed in a hybrid system (housed between morning and afternoon milkings; grazed lucerne overnight). Both received a total mixed ration (TMR) indoors. In study group 2, a mob of 604 mixed-age, late-lactation ewes grazed pasture 24 h/day, and a separate mob of 452 mixed-age late-lactation ewes were in a hybrid system, grazing pasture overnight. For both study groups, individual milk yield, walking distance, lying time, ambient temperature, live weight, and body condition score (BCS) were recorded. All sheep gained BCS and live weight except the fully grazed late-lactation ewes. For study group 1, fully housed ewes in mid-lactation spent less time lying overall during the day, but more overnight compared with those in the hybrid system, which was likely due to the latter grazing overnight. Lying bout duration was similar between groups, while milk yield was 29% less in housed ewes compared with the hybrid ewes. For study group 2, grazing ewes in late-lactation spent more total time lying each day, had longer lying bouts, and walked further each day than those in the hybrid system. Both late-lactation groups had similar milk yields. Fully-housed sheep showed a positive relationship between daily lying time and increasing ambient temperature ($P=0.07$), however, more detailed weather information would be required to draw conclusions from this. In summary, the hybrid management system seems to improve milk yield in mid-lactation compared with the fully housed system, whereas there was no difference between the hybrid and fully grazed systems in late-lactation. Lying behaviour and walking distances (late-lactation group only) differed among different management systems, however, it is unclear what this means in terms of animal welfare, and warrants further investigation.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank Sam Peterson, Sue McCoard, and Karin Schütz for taking time to be my supervisors, and providing me the opportunity to work on this project at AgResearch. Thank you for all of the encouragement, understanding, knowledge, and advice you have given me over the past year and half to complete my masters. I would also like to thank Caroline Chylinski for being my supervisor for the first few months of my masters programme. Thank you to all of you for helping me define my research questions, and guiding me as I faced challenges throughout this process, and helping me with everything else! I have gained invaluable skills and knowledge over the past year and half that I will use for the rest of my life.

Thank you to James Wang, Dongwen Luo, and Harold Henderson for their statistical skills to help me learn and analyse data in my research. I also thank Vanessa Cave and Peter Johnstone for their advice in the challenges we faced in statistical analysis, and David Stevens for his assistance in editing the paper to be published from this research.

This study was funded by The Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment (MBIE; contract C10X1305) and co-funded by Spring Sheep Dairy Primary Growth Partnership. Without this funding, this study would not have been possible.

Thank you to Spring Sheep Milk Co. for having me at your farm, and thank you to the farm staff for their day-to-day management of the trial and assistance in data collection.

Last, but not least, a huge thank you to my amazing mama and dada, family, partner, friends, and colleagues who have supported, motivated, and encouraged me endlessly over the past year and half. And to Alfie, for all of the meows of encouragement! There aren't enough words to thank you enough; without you, I don't know how I would have gotten to the end!

Table of contents

| | |
|---|----------|
| Abstract..... | ii |
| Acknowledgements..... | iii |
| Table of contents..... | iv |
| List of figures..... | vii |
| Chapter 1: | |
| A review of the dairy sheep industry and physical and physiological factors affecting sheep milk production..... | 1 |
| Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1 Overview of the dairy sheep industry..... | 3 |
| 1.2 Factors effecting milk production | 5 |
| 1.2.1 Genetics..... | 5 |
| 1.2.2 Age and parity..... | 8 |
| 1.2.3 Nutrition..... | 11 |
| 1.2.4 Body condition | 15 |
| 1.2.5 Stage of lactation..... | 16 |
| 1.2.6 Number of lambs..... | 18 |
| 1.2.7 Management system..... | 20 |
| Weaning system..... | 20 |
| Milking frequency..... | 23 |
| Activity level | 25 |
| 1.2.8 Environmental factors affecting animal welfare and milk production..... | 26 |
| Heat stress..... | 26 |
| Housing..... | 30 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1.2.9 Mastitis..... | 31 |
| 1.3 Methods of measuring sheep activity used in previous studies..... | 33 |
| 1.4 Summary..... | 35 |
| Chapter 2: | |
| The impact of different management systems on behaviour and milk production of dairy ewes in different stages of lactation; a case study..... | 38 |
| Introduction..... | 39 |
| 2.1 Materials and methods..... | 40 |
| 2.1.1 Animals and management systems..... | 40 |
| 2.1.2 Milk yield, BCS and live weight..... | 42 |
| 2.1.3 Walking distance and lying behaviour..... | 42 |
| 2.1.4 Weather..... | 43 |
| 2.1.5 Statistical analysis..... | 44 |
| 2.2 Results..... | 45 |
| 2.2.1 Study group 1..... | 45 |
| 2.2.2 Study group 2..... | 48 |
| 2.3 Discussion..... | 52 |
| Chapter 3: | |
| General discussion..... | 55 |
| Background..... | 56 |
| 3.1 Key findings..... | 57 |
| Milk yield of sheep in different housing systems..... | 57 |
| Walking distance of sheep in different housing systems..... | 58 |
| Lying behaviour of sheep in different housing systems..... | 58 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Ambient temperature in different housing systems..... | 59 |
| BCS and live weight of sheep in different housing systems..... | 59 |
| 3.2 Limitations..... | 59 |
| 3.3 Implications and future research..... | 61 |
| References..... | 63 |

List of figures and tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1.1 Effect of parity on total milk yield (L) of Laxta dairy sheep in Spain. Source: Ruiz et al. (2000)..... | 9 |
| Figure 1.2 Average lactation curve of dairy sheep in New Zealand at their first (solid, n=22), second (dashed, n=48), and third or more (dotted, n=52) lactation. Source: Scholtens et al. (2017a)..... | 10 |
| Figure 1.3 How milk fat concentration is affected by NDF concentration in feed in dairy sheep whose milk yield is >1.5 kg/d. Source: Pulina et al. (2006)..... | 15 |
| Figure 1.4 Changes in body condition of primiparous (graph one) and multiparous (graph two) Lacaune ewes as they transition from twice-a-day to once-a-day milking (single lamb = ◯; twin lambs = ●). Source: Gonzalez-Garcia et al. (2015)..... | 16 |
| Figure 1.5 Average lactation curves for the first two months of lactation in EF sheep on one New Zealand farm, lambing at different times of the year. Source: Peterson et al. (2005)..... | 17 |
| Figure 1.6 Weekly milk yield (●), somatic cell count (■), and lactose content (▲) of individual Churra dairy sheep from two weeks post-partum in Spain. Source: Fuertes et al. (1998)..... | 18 |
| Figure 1.7 Daily milk yield (g) of single and twin-bearing, non-dairy, EF-cross sheep in New Zealand after lambing at different times of the year (*=significant difference; n for each group provided in each column). Source: Peterson et al. (2005)..... | 20 |
| Figure 1.8 Total daily milk production by dairy ewes which are either machine milked once or twice-a-day and/or suckled by their lambs in Canada. Source: Cant et al. (2000)..... | 22 |
| Figure 1.9 Milk secretion rate in mammary glands of Sarda dairy sheep in Italy in the hours after being milked. Source: Cannas et al. (2002)..... | 25 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1.10 The relationship between maximum ambient temperature (°C) and average relative humidity (RH) and daily milk yield (g) of Mediterranean dairy sheep. Source: Finocchiario et al. (2005)..... | 28 |
| Figure 2.1 The housing barn used to house ewes in mid-lactation either full-time (n=479) or during the day (n=473), and ewes in late lactation during the day (n=452) in Taupo, New Zealand, over summer..... | 41 |
| Figure 2.2 Inside the housing barn with kiln-dried wood chips as bedding..... | 42 |
| Figure 2.3 Average daily milk yields (litres ± SEM) of dairy sheep in two study groups of two treatment groups each: study group 1: 1) mid-lactation housed indoors full-time, fed TMR, 2) mid-lactation in a hybrid housing system, housed during the day between morning and afternoon milking, fed TMR, grazing lucerne outside overnight. Study group 2: 1) late-lactation ewes grazing pasture outside full-time, 2) late-lactation ewes in a hybrid housing system, housed during the day between morning and afternoon milking, fed TMR, grazing pasture outside overnight. The vertical lines indicate the start and end of the transition period..... | 47 |
| Figure 2.4 Average hourly walking distance (m ± SEM) of dairy sheep in late-lactation grazing full-time versus those in a hybrid housing system, housed inside during the day and outside overnight, as measured on five ewes per treatment using GPS collars over a two-week period between days 23 and 36 of a 47-day trial. Vertical lines indicate the beginning and end of milking periods in the morning (0400-0700 hrs) and afternoon (1300-1600 hrs)..... | 49 |
| Figure 2.5 A map of the research farm displaying the GPS recordings for one GPS unit attached to a sheep in the fully grazing group for each day (different days indicated by the colour of the location points). The housing barn is located at point A, and the milking parlour at point B. Aerial map shows fence lines of the previous cow dairy farm that have been re-fenced for sheep..... | 50 |
| Figure 2.6 Maximum daily ambient temperature (°C) in a housing barn (●) and outside (▲) versus the average daily lying time (mins) of dairy sheep in late-lactation grazing full-time and those in mid-lactation housed full-time, as measured by accelerometers during a two-week period in summer..... | 51 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 1.1 Average lactation characteristics of different dairy sheep breeds in their originating countries. Data for first three columns taken from Haenlein (2007)..... | 7 |
| Table 1.2 Least-square means of milk component variables in Churra dairy ewes of different parity. Source: Fuertes et al. (1998)..... | 11 |
| Table 1.3 Consequences of high and low feeding levels (FL) from day 140 of gestation on udder morphology and milk yield, averaged over 12 weeks of lactation (high FL=110% of nutrient requirement; low FL=90% of nutrient requirement). Source: Cannas et al. (2002)..... | 13 |
| Table 2.1 Average lying behaviour of dairy sheep in different lactation stages and housing systems during the day and overnight, excluding milking periods (4-7 a.m. & 1-4 p.m.), as measured by accelerometers during a two-week period in summer. Values presented are averages \pm SEM..... | 48 |